



Scoring Criteria for Traditional Community Solar Projects

The Agency will begin accepting Traditional Community Solar applications on the first day of each program year with that “first day” application window ending at 11:59:59 PM CT each June 1st. Should first day project applications *not* exceed category capacity, then all applicant projects otherwise qualifying shall be deemed acceptable and may qualify for a REC Delivery Contract. Should category capacity fill later in the Program year, then from that point forward, only projects meeting this scoring threshold of 5 points may be considered for an eligible spot on the waitlist for the Traditional Community Solar category. If, in the Program Administrator’s review of an application, it is discovered that a project qualifies for points that an Approved vendor did not claim in the relevant application, those points will be awarded to the project. Still, Approved Vendors should be diligent in their applications, working to claim all relevant points for a project at the time of application.

1. Built Environment (Maximum of 4 points permitted)

- a. Sited on “contaminated lands” as defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency.¹ (Add 2 points)
- b. Sited on rooftops or other existing structures. (Add 3 points)
- c. Sited on a brownfield, as defined in Section 1-10 of the Act and further clarified in Section 5.4.2 of the Plan.² (Add 2 points)

1 See US EPA definition for contaminated lands here: <https://www.epa.gov/report-environment/contaminated-land#:~:text=Contaminated%20lands%20include%3A,disasters%20or%20acts%20of%20terror>

2 The Agency recognizes that there may be overlap between projects defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) as a brownfield that also meet the definition of contaminated lands. The Agency believes that allowing a contaminated project that qualifies as a brownfield site under Section 1-10 of the IPA Act to receive points in both categories fits within the spirit of this scoring criteria. Therefore, if a project is sited on a location that independently qualifies as both contaminated lands defined by the U.S. EPA and as a brownfield under Section 1-10 of the IPA Act, the project may receive points under both (a) and (c) of the Built Environment scoring.

In order to qualify as a brownfield under Section 1-10 of the IPA Act, the project must be able to demonstrate that it is sited in an area that is either (1) located at the site of a coal mine that has permanently ceased coal production, permanently halted any re-mining operations, and is no longer accepting any coal combustion residues, or (2) is regulated by one of the following entities under the following programs: (a) the U.S. EPA under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”); (b) the U.S. EPA under the corrective Action Program of the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended (“RCRA”); (c) the Illinois EPA under the Illinois Solid Waste Program; or (d) the Illinois EPA under the Illinois Site Remediation Program (“ISRP”). Approved Vendors must submit sufficient documentation to demonstrate that the project is located at the site of a permanently closed coal mine or a site regulated by the identified program above.

- d. Commitment to utilize agrivoltaics or dual use solar.^{3, 4} (Add 1 point)
- e. Commitment to pollinator friendly habitat, as defined in in the Pollinator Friendly Solar Site Act (525 ILCS 55).⁵ (Add 1 point)
- f. Commitment to utilize agrivoltaics.⁶ (Add 1 point)
- g. Commitment to pollinator friendly habitat, as defined in the Pollinator Friendly Solar Site Act (525 ILCS 55).⁷ (Add 1 point)

2. Siting (Maximum of 4 points permitted)

- a. Sited in an Environmental Justice Community⁸ or an R3 area.⁹ (Add 2 points)
- b. Sited on land owned by a non-profit or public entity. (Add 2 points)
- c. Sited in a county (or a township within Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry or Will County)¹⁰ that does not currently have a community solar project that was approved by the ICC for a REC contract under the Adjustable Block Program at the time of application.¹¹ (Add 2 points)

3 The definition of agrivoltaics and requirements for this scoring criterion are found in Appendix C – Agrivoltaics Requirements of the current Program Guidebook.

4 Projects utilizing crop-based agrivoltaics should not submit the project’s Part II application until the crops are planted and documentation of adherence to commitment to utilize agrivoltaics can be proved. Approved Vendors will be asked to prove the progress of planted crops and/or other agrivoltaics activities in the Part II application.

5 525 ILCS 55/ limits pollinator friendly sites to ground mounted systems, thus rooftop systems may not attain points under this criterion. Resources for how to successfully certify as pollinator friendly here: <https://dnr.illinois.gov/conservation/pollinatorscorecard.html>

6 The definition of agrivoltaics and requirements for this scoring criterion are found in Appendix C – Agrivoltaics Requirements.

7 Resources for how to successfully certify as pollinator friendly here: <https://www2.illinois.gov/dnr/conservation/pollinatorscorecard/pages/default.aspx> ; The pollinator friendly distinction is limited to ground mounted solar sites per 525 ILCS 55 and the pollinator friendly aspect of the project must exist on the same parcel as the solar project.

8 A map of Environmental Justice Communities as defined by the IPA through its Illinois Solar for All program can be found here: <https://elevate.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=d87a45c18a5c4e0fa96c1f03b6187267>

9 R3 Areas established pursuant to Section 10-40 of the Cannabis Regulation and Tax Act, are defined as areas where residents have historically been excluded from economic opportunities, including opportunities in the energy sector; For a map see: <https://r3.illinois.gov/eligibility>

10 The Illinois Public Land Survey System (PLSS) GIS map will be used to determine the county and township boundaries for each project: <https://prairie-research.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=16239dfab62f49e48e692bb93b495fd9>

11 Multiple projects in a given county or township that does not presently feature a community solar project either under contract or waitlisted may receive points in this category for the current Program Year. Project application reports will be used to verify this information.

3. Equity Eligible Contractors (Maximum 4 points permitted)^{12, 13}

- a. Project is developed by an EEC certified Approved Vendor and can demonstrate contractual commitments for all project development work¹⁴ to be performed by EEC certified subcontractor(s) and/or Designee(s).¹⁵ (Add 4 points)
- b. Project is developed by an EEC certified Approved Vendor or a non-EEC certified Approved Vendor and the contractual commitments for EEC certified subcontractor(s) and/or Designee(s) that all project development work represents at least 75% or more of the project's REC Contract value.¹⁶ (Add 3 points)
- c. Project is developed by an EEC certified Approved Vendor or a non-EEC certified Approved Vendor and the contractual commitments for EEC certified subcontractor (s) and/or Designee(s) that all project development work represents at least 50% and up to 75% of the project's REC Contract value. (Add 2 points)
- d. Project is developed by an EEC certified Approved Vendor or a non-EEC certified Approved Vendor and the contractual commitments for EEC certified subcontractor(s) and/or Designee(s) that all project development work represents at least 25% and up to 50% of the project's REC Contract value (Add 1 point)

¹² For purposes of this scoring category, Designees that have submitted an application but are not yet certified as Equity Eligible Contractors by the Program Administrator will be permitted to be utilized as EEC Designees. If a Designee's EEC application is not approved by the Program Administrator, a substitution will need to be made for a qualifying EEC Designee. EEC Designees will need to be certified by the application scoring cure period. This allowance will only be made in the initial scoring of Day 1 applications. After such time, all EEC Designees must be certified at the time of Part I application submission to achieve points for the EEC scoring criteria.

¹³ Per ICC's Final Order on the 2024 Long-Term Plan, TCS projects that receive points for EEC commitments in Part I applications may not subcontract out work to non-EEC firms.

¹⁴ For purposes of this category, "project development work" refers to all construction and electrical work on a project, and project-specific site assessment work such as permitting, legal, and other site-specific development work, including work that may have already been undertaken prior to project application. Non-site specific development functions (such as general sales and marketing activities) will not be considered as project development work. This definition also differs from the "project workforce" definition utilized for the Minimum Equity Standards as outlined in P.A. 102-0662 and in this Guidebook's Glossary, for which a firm's Illinois-based employees are included in the "project workforce" regardless of function.

¹⁵ The points available under scoring criteria 3.a. are not attainable unless all project development work – including pre-application development work – was performed by an EEC Designee

¹⁶ For purposes of this category, the IPA will assess the percentage of the REC Contract value spent utilizing EEC-certified Designees to be taken through a comparison of a) the value of the REC Delivery Contract (expected deliveries x REC price across the contract term) and b) the demonstrated value of agreements for the project development work to be performed by EEC-certified Designees in developing the project, with those agreement submitted to the Program Administrator at the Part II stage. If the latter value meets or exceeds 50% of the former value, then that project will be deemed to have met this criterion.

4. Interconnection Status – Maximum of 4 points permitted

- a. Project has a valid interconnection agreement at the time of application (Add 1 point)¹⁷
- b. Project has a top-two queue position among community solar projects on a substation on the date of the application (Add 2 points)¹⁸
- c. Recency of project having obtained a valid interconnection agreement (Add up to 1 point)
 - i. Should project applications received on the first day exceed category capacity, the project with the earliest interconnection agreement effective date will receive a full 1 point. If there are multiple projects that share the earliest interconnection agreement effective date, they will each receive 1 point.¹⁹
 - ii. The project with the latest (i.e., most recent) interconnection agreement effective date will receive 0.25 points. If there are multiple projects that share the latest interconnection agreement effective date, they will each receive 0.25 points.
 - iii. Projects applying on the first day of the Program Year, with an interconnection agreement effective date between the earliest and latest dates as established in i. and ii. directly above, will be assigned points based on a sliding scale between 1 and 0.25 points based upon their rank-order from the earliest effective interconnection date to the latest effective interconnection date. Each independent effective interconnection date within this rank-order will be assigned an independent fractional score between 1 point and 0.25 points. As such, projects that have the same effective interconnection agreement date will receive the same number of points.
 - iv. If a project lacks an effective interconnection agreement, no points will be awarded to that project under this category for that project.
- d. For projects submitted *after* the first day of the Program Year, will be assigned points based upon the recency of the agreement on a sliding scale based upon their rank-order from earliest effective interconnection date to the latest effective interconnection date; the maximum available points for recency of interconnection agreement shall be .25 points (for
 - i. The earliest interconnection date), and the minimum points available shall be .10 points (for the latest interconnection date).²⁰

¹⁷ Pursuant to Article 3 of the Interconnection Contract (see 83 Ill. Adm. Code Part 467, Appx. C), the interconnection agreement will be considered “valid” if it is fully executed by both parties and the effective of the contract date (i.e., the date noted in the first paragraph of the agreement, pursuant to 3.1 of the contract) falls before the date of the application.

¹⁸ Demonstration of queue position among other community solar projects can be accomplished through a snapshot of the interconnection queue (taken after interconnection agreement execution), verification from interconnecting utility, or other supporting materials, if applicable, submitted with a project application and accompanying certification. The IPA will also endeavor to work with the utilities to verify the accuracy of queue position submittals and reserves the right to take disciplinary action against firms found to have knowingly submitted a false queue position.

¹⁹ As noted above, Section 3.1 of the contract defines the effective date of the interconnection agreement as the date noted in the first paragraph of the agreement. (83 Ill. Adm. Code Part 467, Appx. C).

²⁰ If there is only one project with a valid interconnection agreement submitted on a particular day, it will be awarded .25 points.

Developer Cap

A 20% developer cap will be applied across megawatts awarded, rather than the number of contracts awarded (that is, if the capacity for the Traditional Community Solar category were to be 100 MW in a program year, a single developer could be awarded at most 20 MW; the number of contracts awarded is unrelated to the developer cap).

Contract awards for established projects should not be made to a firm who would then hold over 20% of contract awards made for that program year (non-inclusive of transfers or assignments) through the waitlisted project contract award. Thus, while an entity that was awarded the maximum of 20% of REC Delivery Contract awards could have the highest ranked projects on an ordinal waitlist (and that ranking would not change, including for use in the next program year), those projects would only be awarded REC Delivery Contracts in the current program year in the case of a removal of one (or more) of that entity's projects already having received a contract award so as to ensure that the 20% developer cap would not be exceeded.

Qualification and Demonstration

Each criterion carries different challenges with verifying qualification for preference in scoring. While some criteria are binary and compliance can be demonstrated through an address or cross-referencing a map, in other cases, robust documentation will be required to demonstrate qualification. In general, qualification will be demonstrated through supporting materials demonstrating that the criterion is met and an accompanying attestation, and the Program Administrator will assess the sufficiency of a submittal and request additional information where appropriate. Supporting documentation for each criterion the Approved Vendor seeks to score points for will need to be submitted in the portal at the time of application. Demonstration of compliance with EEC scoring adds timing challenges: while demonstration that the *applicant Approved Vendor* is an EEC is straightforward—either that entity has qualified as an EEC, or it has not—demonstrating qualification of subcontractor Designees may not be possible at the time of Part I application. Therefore, while an applicant need not demonstrate contractual relationships with an EEC sufficient to cover all or up to half of project development work at the time of Part I application, the Part I application must include identification of an applicable EEC and an attestation from an EEC of its interest and capacity to perform project development work.

Verification

In the event that the capacity for the Traditional Community Solar block is exceeded on Day 1, the Program Administrator's application review team will first seek to review all submitted Day 1 applications for any deficiencies. In order for applications to be considered fully submitted, they must be batched and payment of the application fee should be initiated. This review process will assess only project specifications and requirements, not any attributes of the project submitted for scoring purposes. This application review process will take place prior to any application scoring to ensure that the universe of applications being scored is viable. After the project specifications for these applications are reviewed and deficiencies cured, the application scoring will commence. After scores are determined by the Program Administrator's scoring team, those scores will be shared individually with each Approved Vendor for review. After review of scores is completed and capacity is filled, all scores will be made public and the ranked ordinal list will be posted publicly. Any subsequent Traditional Community Solar applications submitted to the Program will be added to a waitlist, so long as the applications meet the minimum point threshold for waitlist acceptance.

Proposed Schedule for Application Review and Scoring²¹

1. Application Review Period

- a. Applications undergo initial review by Program Administrator – Approximately 4 weeks

2. Application Cure Period

- a. Approved Vendors cure deficiencies identified by Program Administrator – Approximately 2 weeks

3. Application Scoring Period

- a. Program Administrator begins scoring process for all relevant applications (those submitted without deficiencies and those that successfully cure deficiencies during the 2 week cure period) – Approximately 3 weeks

4. Scoring Cure Period

- a. Approved Vendors are offered a chance to review initial score and dispute and resolve any discrepancies – Approximately 2 weeks

Final scores will be posted publicly after the scoring cure period closes.

²¹ Please note this schedule is tentative for each program year and will be dependent on both the quality and quantity of applications received.

Compliance

Approved Vendors will be asked to submit proper documentation for each scoring criterion sought based on the following table.

1. Submissions at Part I Application

Scoring Criterion	Topic	What Should Be Submitted
1.a	Built Environment – Contaminated Land	Provide documentation of qualifications per definition
1.b	Built Environment – Rooftops/Other existing structures	Statement that this scoring criterion is sought after (Yes/No)
1.c	Built Environment – Brownfield	Provide documentation of qualifications per definition
1.d	Built Environment – Agrivoltaics	Agrivoltaics development plan with all required items outlined in Appendix C
1.e	Built Environment – Pollinator Friendly Habitat	Pollinator Friendly Habitat development plan that aligns with IDNR’s Illinois Planned Pollinator Habitat on Solar Sites Scorecard ²²
2.a	Siting – Environmental Justice Community and R3 area	Statement that this scoring criterion is sought after (Yes/No)
2.b	Siting – Land owned by non-profit or public entity	Site control documentation that proves ownership
2.c	Siting – County without Community Solar (both TCS and CDCS) project	Statement that this scoring criterion is sought after (Yes/No)
3.a	Equity Eligible Contractor – EEC AV and EEC Designee(s)	Provide name of EEC Designee(s) that AV is partnering with and date of EEC certification.
3.b	Equity Eligible Contractor – EEC AV or Non-EEC AV and at least 75% of development work performed by EEC Designee(s)	Provide name of EEC Designee(s) that AV is partnering with and date of EEC certification, AND Attestation from EEC Designee(s) of its interest and capacity to perform project development including the specific project development work that will be completed by the EEC Designee.
3.c	Equity Eligible Contractor – EEC AV or non-EEC AV and at least 50% and up to 75% of development work performed by EEC Designee(s)	Provide name of EEC Designee(s) that AV is partnering with and date of EEC certification, AND Attestation from EEC Designee(s) of its interest and capacity to perform project development work.
3.d	Equity Eligible Contractor – EEC AV or Non-EEC AV and 25% and up to 50% of development work performed by EEC Designee(s)	Provide name of EEC Designee(s) that AV is partnering with and date of EEC certification, AND Attestation from EEC Designee(s) of its interest and capacity to perform project development.
4.a	Interconnection Status – Valid Interconnection Agreement ²³	Copy of agreement and date noted in the first paragraph of the agreement. If not applicable, please state “N/A” or “No interconnection agreement available.”
4.b	Interconnection Status – Top 2 Queue Position	Identifier of relevant substation and queue position (if available). If not applicable, please state “N/A” or “No queue position available.”
4.c	Interconnection Status – Recency of Interconnection Agreement	No submission of information required, can be determined from information provided via item 4.a.

22 See: <https://www2.illinois.gov/dnr/conservation/pollinatorscorecard/pages/default.aspx>

23 A valid interconnection agreement is an agreement signed by both the interconnecting utility and the customer. Partially executed agreements will not be accepted.

2. Changes between Part I and Part II

Failure to meet any criteria which resulted in more favorable scoring (and thus a higher likelihood of contract award) would be considered an event of default under the REC Delivery Contract resulting in the full forfeiture of collateral, with the system unable to be Part II verified. For example, if a project did not use sufficient levels of EEC Designees as submitted in the Part I application or failed to leverage the project site for agricultural use as stated in an agrivoltaics plan submitted in the Part I application, the Program Administrator would not Part II verify the project. Approved Vendors would have the right to appeal Program Administrator determinations of non-compliance with scoring criteria to the IPA, as described in Section 1.K of this Guidebook. A demonstrated pattern of such defaults could result in disciplinary action, including ineligibility for future contract awards.

a. Permitted Changes Between Part I and Part II Application

i. Agrivoltaics

- Changes to an agrivoltaics plan, such as a change in crop utilization or footprint size (above the required 50% outlined in Appendix C), are permitted. Any changes to an agrivoltaics plan that occur between the Part I and Part II application must be made in writing via an updated plan to the Program Administrator.

ii. Pollinator Friendly Habitat

- Changes to a Pollinator Friendly Habitat plan, such as a change in crop utilization, are permitted. Any changes to a Pollinator Friendly Habitat plan that occur between the Part I and Part II application must be made in writing via an updated plan to the Program Administrator.

iii. EEC Designee Substitution

- Substitution of the EEC Designee(s) that will support a project due to unforeseen circumstances can be made between the Part I and Part II application. Any substitutions must be made in writing to the Program Administrator, and are subject to review and verification.

3. Part II Verification of Commitments Made at Part I

The Program Administrator will request confirmation that any commitments made in the Part I application were fulfilled throughout project development, including but not limited to:

- a. Built Environment – Agrivoltaics
- b. Built Environment – Pollinator Friendly Habitat
- c. EEC Designee commitments

As noted above, any changes to commitments made in the Part I application submission which resulted in more favorable scoring (and thus a higher likelihood of contract award) would be considered an event of default under the REC Delivery Contract resulting in the full forfeiture of collateral, with the system unable to be Part II verified.

4. After Part II Verification

As most of the scoring criteria for Traditional Community Solar project will take place during the project development cycle (thus take place across the Part I and Part II application process), there is a limited scope of criteria that the Agency will need to monitor after Part II verification. Two commitments that, if applicable, the Program Administrator will seek to monitor throughout the life of the REC Delivery Contract are scoring criterion Built Environment – Agrivoltaics (1.c) and Built Environment – Pollinator Friendly Habitat (1.d). As both of these criteria are commitments that are to be continued throughout the life of the REC contract, the Program Administrator will request updated reporting at the Annual Report each July and will also seek to ensure that projects that have made these commitments are in compliance via random project inspections. Failure to uphold these commitments may result in an Event of Default under the REC Contract.